EXHIBIT B

(Redacted as Described in the Motion to Seal)

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Pa	ge 78 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Page 80
1 2	 during the condensation step of manufacture of azoxystrobin supplied by TaiHe to Willowood, correct? A. That's correct. Q.
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Pa	ge 79
4 TaiHe documentation, I think it would be very, ve difficult to reproduce this in order to see what lev would regularly project into an isolated azoxystro technical.	els
 8 Q. And '761 patent requires that the 9 condensation step and can we just agree that 10 call it the condensation step for purposes of this 11 discussion? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. The '761 patent requires that the 	
 14 condensation step be done in the presence of between 15 molar percent and 2.0 molar percent DABCO, corr 16 A. That's right. 17 Q. And from this testing performed by CAC 18 Shanghai, you could not quantify the amount of 19 azoxystrobin strike that. 	
From this testing done by CAC Shanghai, you could not quantify the amount of DABCO that was pre	

ATT	DRNEYS' EYES ONLY Page 86	
1		
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. But the CAC testing you weren't able to quantify how much DABCO was used in the manufacturing process, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And from the Syngenta testing you were not able to quantify how much DABCO was used in the manufacturing process, correct? A. That's correct. Q.	

Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC vs. Willowood, LLC, et al.	Joseph M.D. Fortunak, Ph.D Vol. 1 October 10, 2016
	Page 93
	8

Willowood, LLC, et al.	October 10, 2016
	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Page 100
	1 A. Correct.
	2 Q. And there's no other testing that you're
	3 aware of that supports your opinion that there's a
	4 substantial likelihood that Willowood has and continues
	5 to practice certain claims of the '761 patent, correct?
	6 A. That's right. And I don't believe I
	7 referred to any additional testing in my report.
	8 Q. I don't believe you do either. I just
	9 wanted to make sure there was nothing else that I
	10 wasn't aware of.
	You also said that your second fact was
	12 that well, strike that.
	13 Why don't you tell me what your second fact
	14 is again.
	15 A. So the second fact is if you're going to
	16 use a reagent, in this case, a catalyst in a process,
	17 it's only logical to run it in a commercially
	18 reasonable manner.
	19 Q. Okay. So it's based on an assumption that
	20 you make that if manufacturing azoxystrobin using
	21 DABCO, you would use it in a commercially reasonable
	21 BABOO, you would use it in a commercially reasonable
Page 99	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Page 101
	1 amount, correct?
1	
	2 A. Yes, because I'm a process chemist and3 that's what we do.
	3 that's what we do.4 Q. Okay. And that commercially reasonable
	5 amount you believe is between 0.1 molar percent and 2.0
	6 molar percent, correct?7 A. Correct.
	9 commercially reasonable amount?
	10 A. Because the '761 patent itself establishes
10 DV MD TILLED.	11 those ranges. And from conversation that I had with
12 BY MR. TILLER:	12 Alan Whitton at Syngenta, asking about how they operate
13 Q. Excuse me, doctor. Earlier today you	13 this final stage of the '761 process using DABCO, in
14 testified that there were two basic facts to support	14 order to confirm my understandings of what Syngenta
15 your conclusion that Willowood is substantially likely	15 finds.
16 or, that there is a substantial likelihood that	16 Q.
17 Willowood has and continues to practice at least Claims	
18 1, 3, 4, 5, 9 and 10 of the '761 patent. And the first	
19 fact you said was the testing, and we discussed the	
20 Syngenta testing, the CAC testing, and the JDM testing,	
21 correct?	

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Page 106 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Page 106 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
6
6
14
14
14
14
14
14
14
14
ATTORNEYOLEVEO ONLY
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Page 107 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Page 10
1

Min-U-Script®

willowood, LLC, et al.			October 10, 2016
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	Page 110	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	Page 112
1		1	
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	Page 111	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	Page 113
1		1	
		8 Q. But commercially reasonal	
		9 engage in design-around effor	ts, to the best of your
10		10 knowledge, correct?	1. 22
		MS. BALTZER: Objection, f	
		12 THE WITNESS: That's corre	ect.
		13	

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	Page 118	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	Page 120
		1 sold to Willowood? 2 MS. BALTZER: Objection, founda 3 THE WITNESS: In terms of how n 4 contributes to the cost of the raw ma 5 be a large contribution. 6	nuch it
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	Page 119		
 6 Q. So after the quotation from the '761 paten 7 that you cite to, you say, quote, given the info 8 in the '761 patent, it would not be commercial 	rmation		
 9 reasonable for Willowood's manufacturer, (TaiHe 10 more DABCO than 2 molar percent, which would ac 11 manufacturing expense without any significant 	e) to use dd to the		
12 improvement in yield.13 Do you see that?14 A. Yes.			
15 Q. What is the expense of DABCO? What d	oes it		
17 A. Not terrible expensive.18 Q. Okay. So increasing DABCO from, for			
example, 1.9 molar percent to 2.1 molar percentknow how much that would increase the cost	of		
21 manufacturing the azoxystrobin produced by Tai	iHe and		

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Page	126 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	Page 128
3		
1	1	
•		
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Page	127 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	Page 129
1 .	1	
- DVMD TILLED		
5 BY MR. TILLER:		
6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase		
6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase		
6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic?		
6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic?8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that	mes	
 6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase 7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic? 8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that 9 to is used to be applied to or it could be toxic to 10 people who use the agriculture end product that con 	mes	
 6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase 7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic? 8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that 9 to is used to be applied to or it could be toxic to 10 people who use the agriculture end product that could 11 from the plant. 	mes	
 6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase 7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic? 8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that 9 to is used to be applied to or it could be toxic to 10 people who use the agriculture end product that could from the plant. 12 Q. What are the toxic effects? 	mes	
 6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase 7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic? 8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that 9 to is used to be applied to or it could be toxic to 10 people who use the agriculture end product that could 11 from the plant. 12 Q. What are the toxic effects? 13 A. I don't recall. 	mes	
 6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase 7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic? 8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that 9 to is used to be applied to or it could be toxic to 10 people who use the agriculture end product that could from the plant. 12 Q. What are the toxic effects? 13 A. I don't recall. 14 Q. Putting aside the amount of DABCO used in 		
 6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase 7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic? 8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that 9 to is used to be applied to or it could be toxic to 10 people who use the agriculture end product that could from the plant. 12 Q. What are the toxic effects? 13 A. I don't recall. 14 Q. Putting aside the amount of DABCO used in 15 the manufacturing process, how much of this residence. 	due	
 6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase 7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic? 8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that 9 to is used to be applied to or it could be toxic to 10 people who use the agriculture end product that could from the plant. 12 Q. What are the toxic effects? 13 A. I don't recall. 14 Q. Putting aside the amount of DABCO used in 	due	
 6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase 7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic? 8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that 9 to is used to be applied to or it could be toxic to 10 people who use the agriculture end product that could from the plant. 12 Q. What are the toxic effects? 13 A. I don't recall. 14 Q. Putting aside the amount of DABCO used in 15 the manufacturing process, how much of this residence. 	due vas	
 6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase 7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic? 8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that 9 to is used to be applied to or it could be toxic to 10 people who use the agriculture end product that could from the plant. 12 Q. What are the toxic effects? 13 A. I don't recall. 14 Q. Putting aside the amount of DABCO used in 15 the manufacturing process, how much of this residued would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have the azoxystrobin prod	due vas	
6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase 7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic? 8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that 9 to is used to be applied to or it could be toxic to 10 people who use the agriculture end product that could from the plant. 12 Q. What are the toxic effects? 13 A. I don't recall. 14 Q. Putting aside the amount of DABCO used in 15 the manufacturing process, how much of this residuated would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that will applied to the plants, how much residue would have to be in that product in order for it to be toxic?	due vas	
6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase 7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic? 8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that 9 to is used to be applied to or it could be toxic to 10 people who use the agriculture end product that could from the plant. 12 Q. What are the toxic effects? 13 A. I don't recall. 14 Q. Putting aside the amount of DABCO used in 15 the manufacturing process, how much of this residue would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that with the plants, how much residue would have to be in that product in order for it to be toxic? 19 MS. BALTZER: Objection, foundation.	due vas	
 6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase 7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic? 8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that 9 to is used to be applied to or it could be toxic to 10 people who use the agriculture end product that could from the plant. 12 Q. What are the toxic effects? 13 A. I don't recall. 14 Q. Putting aside the amount of DABCO used in 15 the manufacturing process, how much of this resident would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that would have to be in that product in order for it to be toxic? 19 MS. BALTZER: Objection, foundation. 20 THE WITNESS: I do not know that that has 	due vas	
6 Q. Okay. When you used the term increase 7 toxicity, to whom would it be toxic? 8 A. It could be toxic either to the plants that 9 to is used to be applied to or it could be toxic to 10 people who use the agriculture end product that could from the plant. 12 Q. What are the toxic effects? 13 A. I don't recall. 14 Q. Putting aside the amount of DABCO used in 15 the manufacturing process, how much of this residue would have to be in the azoxystrobin product that with the plants applied to the plants, how much residue would have to be in that product in order for it to be toxic? 19 MS. BALTZER: Objection, foundation.	due vas	

Page 10 of 13

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	Page 158						
1	Page 158						
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1	Page 159						
8 BY MR. TILLER: 9 Q. So when you say 723, we're looking at 10 Weintritt? 11 A. Yeah, at Weintritt. 12 Q. Okay. 13 A. And if I compare Weintritt with a compute patent for azoxystrobin, which I believe is 15 both of those cover millions and millions of a control of the structure azoxystrobin would be control to the following the control of the contro	oound the '076, compounds.						

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Page 162	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Page 164
1	1
	12 Q. Well, Weintritt is claiming the synthesis 13 of azoxystrobin in the presence of 2 to 40 molar 14 percent of DABCO, correct? 15 MS. BALTZER: Objection, foundation. 16 THE WITNESS: Looking at the scope of 17 Weintritt's claims, one could infer that one could 18 say that this is contemplated. It's not exemplified, 19 nor is it explicitly laid out. It said, no, I can make 20 azoxystrobin with 2 mole percent of DABCO. 21 BY MR. TILLER:
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 Q. Okay. So I thought we had agreed that 2 Weintritt claimed a process for preparing compounds of 3 the general formula one and we agreed that general 4 formula one includes, among many other compounds, but 5 it includes azoxystrobin, correct? 6 A. That's correct. 7 MS. BALTZER: Objection, foundation. 8 BY MR. TILLER: 9 Q. That's correct, correct? 10	 Q. So you don't think the fact that Weintritt claims the production or the manufacture of azoxystrobin in the presence of 2 to 40 molar percent,

willowood, LLC, et al.		October 10, 2010
	Page 246	
	· ·	
1		
12 Q. Okay. So still, sitting here today, you		
13 cannot conclusively say how much DABCO was use	ed during	
14 the manufacture of the azoxystrobin technical	included	
in that sample of Azoxy 2SC, correct?		
16 MS. BALTZER: Objection, form.		
THE MUTNESS OF 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
18 information you can get is to measure the pres	sence of	
19 DABCO, the byproducts derived from it, and that	t doesn't	
20 allow you to quantify how much DABCO was	s used.	
21 BY MR. TILLER:		
	Page 247	
1 Q. Understood. Actually, the best available		
2 information would be go and take samples of	f the	
	iling and	
4 then test that, correct?		
5 MS. BALTZER: Objection, form.		
6 THE WITNESS: Correct, but that hasn't be	een	
7 offered as an option by Willowood or TaiHe.		
8 BY MR. TILLER:		
9 Q. Hasn't been asked, hasn't been asked, h	as	
10 it?		
11 MS. BALTZER: Objection, form.		
12 THE WITNESS: I don't know.		
13 BY MR. TILLER:		
14 Q.		
		·